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# Benzothiazine and Benzothiazepine Derivatives: Structures of $\boldsymbol{N}$-p-Bromophenyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2-benzothiazin-3(4H)-one 1,1-Dioxide (BBTZ) and 4,5-Dihydro-8,9-dimethoxy- N -(5-methyl-2-pyridyl)-1,2-benzothiazepin-3-one 1,1-Dioxide (MPTE) 
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#### Abstract

BBTZ}, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}, \quad M_{r}=412 \cdot 3\), triclinic $\quad P \overline{1}, \quad a=8.067(3), \quad b=11.449(3), \quad c=$ 9.280 (2) $\AA, \quad \alpha=83.40$ (2),$\quad \beta=106.39$ (2),$\quad \gamma=$ 97.68 (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \quad V=812.2$ (3) $\AA^{3}, \quad D_{m}=1.68$ (3) by flotation, $D_{x}=1.685(2) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}, F(000)=416, Z=2$, m.p. $480-481 \mathrm{~K}, \lambda($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})=0.71069 \AA, \mu($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})$ $=28.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, T=300 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.049,1755$ reflections with $I \geq 2 \sigma(I)$. MPTE, $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}, M_{r}=362 \cdot 4$, orthorhombic, $P b c a, a=11.090$ (6), $b=14.099$ (6), $c=21.574$ (7) $\AA, V=3373.3$ (6) $\AA^{3}, D_{m}=1.42$ (3) by flotation, $D_{x}=1.427(2) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}, F(000)=1520, Z=$ $8, \lambda($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})=0.71069 \AA, \mu($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})=2.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, T$ $=300 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.046,1517$ reflections with $I \geq 2 \sigma(I)$. The thiazine and thiazepine rings have a boat conformation. The methoxy groups are in the plane of the benzene rings and the non-bonding electrons of oxygen participate in the benzene ring resonance structure. The packing is such that it generates stacking of the aromatic rings at distances of $3.5 \AA$.


Introduction. 1,2-Benzothiazin-3(4H)-one 1,1-dioxide derivatives show considerable central nervous system (Sianesi, Redaelli, Magistretti \& Massarani, 1973) and anti-inflammatory (Catsoulakos \& Camoutsi, 1979)

[^0]0108-2701/86/111581-04\$01.50
activity. It has also been reported that the introduction of methoxy groups to the aromatic rings decreases their toxicity. Many similar heterocyclic compounds with sulfur and nitrogen have been synthesized with the intent of discovering new useful biologically active derivatives. In our attempt to establish structureactivity relationships we have solved the structures of a number of these derivatives. Here we report the structures of two such compounds, one with a six-membered (BBTZ) and one with a seven-membered (MPTE) heterocyclic ring.

Experimental. BBTZ crystals obtained by diffusing methanol into a chloroform solution. MPTE crystallized by evaporation of a $1: 1$ chloroform-methanol solution. Data collected on a Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer, Zr -filtered Mo $K \bar{\alpha}$ radiation, $\theta-2 \theta$ scans, range $3<$ $2 \theta<43^{\circ}$. Crystal sizes: BBTZ $0.42 \times 0.18 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}$; MPTE $0.48 \times 0.26 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$. $h \mathrm{kl}$ ranges: for BBTZ $h 0 \rightarrow 8, k-11 \rightarrow 11, l-9 \rightarrow 9$; for MPTE $h 0 \rightarrow 11, k 0 \rightarrow 15$, $l 0 \rightarrow 23$. Lattice parameters from 15 automatically centred reflections, $20<2 \theta<22^{\circ}$. 1874 unique reflections, $1755 I \geq 2 \sigma(I)$ for BBTZ and 2209 unique reflections, $1517 I \geq 2 \sigma(I)$ for MPTE. Lorentzpolarization corrections performed but absorption correction applied only on BBTZ using $\psi$-scan method © 1986 International Union of Crystallography

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors for BBTZ

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\left(B_{11} \times B_{22} \times B_{33}\right)^{1 / 3}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| Br | -0.0731 (1) | $0 \cdot 1480$ (1) | 0.2782 (1) | 6.31 |
| S | 0.5135 (2) | -0.2285 (1) | 0.1888 (2) | 4.04 |
| O(1) | 0.5646 (6) | -0.2095 (4) | 0.0524 (6) | $6 \cdot 0$ |
| O(2) | 0.5795 (5) | -0.1466 (4) | 0.2996 (6) | 4.4 |
| O(3) | 0.0382 (5) | -0.3406 (4) | 0.0354 (5) | 4.5 |
| O(4) | $0 \cdot 6402$ (5) | -0.7096 (3) | 0.4382 (5) | 4.4 |
| O(5) | 0.8286 (5) | -0.5401 (3) | 0.5788 (4) | 4.0 |
| N | 0.2961 (6) | -0.2377 (4) | 0.1456 (6) | 3.7 |
| C(1) | 0.1944 (8) | -0.3362 (5) | 0.0751 (7) | 3.8 |
| C(2) | 0.2923 (8) | -0.4346 (5) | 0.0558 (7) | 4.4 |
| C(3) | 0.4396 (7) | -0.4625 (5) | $0 \cdot 1907$ (6) | 3.7 |
| C(4) | 0.4693 (8) | -0.5778 (5) | 0.2465 (7) | 3.7 |
| C(5) | 0.6011 (7) | -0.6009 (5) | 0.3745 (6) | 3.4 |
| C(6) | 0.7068 (7) | -0.5067 (5) | 0.4523 (6) | 3.4 |
| C(7) | 0.6794 (8) | -0.3918 (5) | 0.3944 (7) | 3.8 |
| C(8) | 0.5480 (7) | -0.3713 (5) | 0.2660 (6) | 3.4 |
| C(9) | 0.2138 (7) | -0.1445 (5) | 0.1790 (6) | $3 \cdot 5$ |
| C(10) | 0.2386 (7) | -0.0306 (5) | $0 \cdot 1134$ (6) | 3.5 |
| C(11) | 0.1542 (8) | 0.0571 (5) | $0 \cdot 1450$ (7) | 3.6 |
| C(12) | 0.0474 (8) | 0.0301 (5) | 0.2368 (6) | 3.5 |
| C(13) | 0.0236 (8) | -0.0831 (6) | 0.3034 (7) | 4.6 |
| C(14) | $0 \cdot 1058$ (8) | -0.1699 (5) | 0.2713 (7) | 4.0 |
| C(15) | 0.5272 (9) | -0.8102 (6) | 0.3722 (8) | $5 \cdot 0$ |
| C(16) | 0.9394 (8) | -0.4488 (6) | 0.6632 (7) | $4 \cdot 5$ |

with the TAPER program of the $X T L$ package (Syntex, 1973). Structures solved by MULTAN (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971), zerovalent scattering factors (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1974). Full-matrix least-squares refinement in blocks, minimizing $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$. All H atoms located in difference maps were refined, assigned $B$ equal to $B_{\text {eq }}$ of carrier atoms (not refined). BBTZ: $w=1 / \sigma^{2}+$ $0.01\left|F_{o}\right|$ from counting statistics, refinement converged at $R=0.049, w R=0.062, S=2.51, \Delta / \sigma<$ 0.5 , no peak greater than $0.4 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ (except around Br ) in final difference map. MPTE: $w=1 / \sigma^{2}+0.018\left|F_{o}\right|$, final $R=0.045, w R=0.046, S=1.31, \Delta / \sigma<0.4$, no peaks greater than $0.5 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ in final difference map. Calculations carried out on a NOVA 1200 computer.

Discussion. Positional parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms are listed in Table 1 for BBTZ and Table 2 for MPTE.* Bond distances and angles are given in Fig. 1 for BBTZ and Fig. 2 for MPTE and structural and conformational features in Fig. 3. The thiazine (BBTZ) and the thiazepine (MPTE) rings have the boat conformation. Atoms $C(2)$ and $S$ are 0.487 (5) and $0.668(2) \AA$ respectively above the plane defined by $C(1), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{N}(B B T Z)$ and 0.842 (6) and 0.732 (3) $\AA$ respectively above the 'plane' defined by $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(9), \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{MPTE})$.

[^1]Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors for MPTE

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\left(B_{11} \times B_{22} \times B_{33}\right)^{1 / 3}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| S | $0 \cdot 1850$ (1) | 0.0342 (1) | 0.3831 (1) | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| O(1) | $0 \cdot 1274$ (3) | -0.0381 (2) | 0.4186 (1) | 4.5 |
| O(2) | 0.1379 (2) | 0.1281 (2) | 0.3861 (1) | $4 \cdot 2$ |
| O(3) | $0 \cdot 1820$ (3) | -0.1088 (2) | 0.2336 (1) | 4.6 |
| O(4) | 0.6930 (2) | 0.0816 (2) | 0.4535 (1) | 4.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.5304 (2) | 0.1967 (2) | 0.4957 (1) | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| N(1) | 0.1746 (3) | 0.0028 (2) | 0.3086 (1) | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| N(2) | 0.2413 (3) | 0.1101 (2) | 0.2327 (1) | $3 \cdot 2$ |
| C(1) | 0.2057 (3) | -0.0881 (3) | 0.2867 (2) | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| C(2) | 0.2746 (4) | -0.1508 (2) | 0.3302 (2) | 3.4 |
| C(3) | 0.4042 (4) | -0.1142 (3) | 0.3397 (2) | 3.8 |
| C(4) | 0.4239 (3) | -0.0276 (3) | 0.3803 (2) | 2.9 |
| C(5) | 0.5437 (4) | -0.0122 (2) | 0.3980 (2) | 3.0 |
| C(6) | 0.5782 (4) | 0.0626 (3) | 0.4352 (2) | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| C(7) | 0.4904 (4) | 0.1269 (3) | 0.4572 (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ |
| C(8) | 0.3734 (4) | 0.1155 (3) | 0.4384 (2) | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| C(9) | 0.3399 (3) | 0.0384 (3) | 0.4001 (2) | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| C(10) | $0 \cdot 1475$ (3) | 0.0761 (2) | 0.2637 (2) | $2 \cdot 6$ |
| C(11) | 0.0322 (3) | 0.1077 (2) | 0.2561 (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ |
| C(12) | 0.0130 (3) | $0 \cdot 1822$ (3) | 0.2160 (2) | 3.9 |
| C(13) | $0 \cdot 1076$ (4) | 0.2216 (2) | $0 \cdot 1842$ (2) | 3.4 |
| C(14) | 0.2190 (3) | 0.1808 (3) | $0 \cdot 1937$ (2) | 3.5 |
| C(15) | 0.0931 (4) | 0.3049 (3) | 0.1417 (2) | 5.4 |
| C(16) | 0.7854 (4) | 0.0184 (3) | 0.4330 (2) | 4.4 |
| C(17) | 0.4404 (4) | 0.2610 (3) | 0.5188 (2) | $5 \cdot 2$ |



Fig. 1. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ for BBTZ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses.

All the methoxy groups are in the plane of the respective benzene rings. This planar conformation has certain consequences which we observe. These are: $(A)$ the increase by more than $4.8^{\circ}$ from the theoretical $120^{\circ}$ of the angles $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-$ $\mathrm{C}(7)$ in BBTZ [Fig. $3(b)$ ] and the corresponding angles in MPTE [Fig. 3(a)]; (B) the exaggerated shortening by more than $0.075 \AA$ of the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ bond with respect to the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the methoxy groups; $(C)$ the lengthening of the $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ bond in BBTZ and $\mathbf{C}(6)-\mathbf{C}(7)$ in MPTE by about $0.020 \AA$ with respect to the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length of the aromatic ring.
$(A)$ is caused by close contact interaction between the methoxy hydrogen atoms and those of the aromatic ring. This deformation when the methoxy group has the coplanar conformation has been observed before (Panagiotopoulos \& Filippakis, 1980). We would not expect to observe this deformation if the aromatic ring hydrogen is missing or if the methoxy group is out of the benzene ring plane. This in fact is the case in the 3-methoxy-2-aza-estratrien derivative (Rohrer \& Duax, 1978) where the methoxy group is coplanar but ortho to the pyridyl nitrogen which does not have a hydrogen atom. It is also the case in ring $A$ of $o$-tetramethylhaematoxylin (Martinis \& Mackay, 1980) where the


Fig. 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ for MPTE with e.s.d.'s in parentheses.


Fig. 3. Atom numbering and $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids of the non-hydrogen atoms for (a) MPTE and (b) BBTZ.
methoxy group is out of plane. In both cases no deformation is observed.
$(B)$ and (C) are caused by the participation of the oxygen non-bonding electrons in the benzene resonance structures, when the methoxy group has the coplanar conformation, as indicated below. This effect is well brought out in ring $A$ of o-tetramethylhaematoxylin where one methoxy group is coplanar and the other not. The two $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ distances are therefore differentiated, $1.365 \AA$ for the coplanar and $1.387 \AA$ for the non-coplanar conformation. In the additional resonance structures below, the aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond between the two methoxy groups always appears as a 'single' bond, so we expect this distance to be longer than the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance of the aromatic ring as we noted under (C) above.







The tetrahedral coordination around the sulfur atom shows the expected distortion of sulfones in both molecules. The O-S-O angle of 119.3 and $118.4^{\circ}$ for the thiazine and thiazepine derivatives respectively are larger, while the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ angles of 100.4 and $106.1^{\circ}$ are smaller than the tetrahedral value.

In the packing mode of both molecules we observe a stacking of the aromatic rings. In BBTZ the bromobenzene rings related by the centre of symmetry are $3.50 \AA$ apart and in MPTE the pyridyl rings are $3.52 \AA$ apart. A similar, $3.5 \AA$ stacking distance is observed as well for the o-dimethoxybenzo groups of both molecules. This $3.5 \AA$ interplanar distance compares well with that observed for aromatic acyl halides (Leser \& Rabinovich, 1978).
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#### Abstract

BF}_{4}{ }^{-}\)salt (I): di( $\Delta^{2,2^{\prime}}$-bi-1,3-dithiolanole]pyrazin)ium tetrafluoroborate, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}, M_{r}$ $=703.66$, orthorhombic, Fddd, $a=11.547$ (2), $b=$ $13 \cdot 127$ (3), $c=34 \cdot 182$ (6) $\AA, V=5181$ (2) $\AA^{3}, Z=8$, $D_{m}=1.80, \quad D_{x}=1.804 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad$ Mo $K \bar{\alpha}, \quad \lambda=$ $0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=6.73 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=2824, \quad T=$ 296 (2) K. $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$salt (II): di( $\Delta^{2,2^{\prime}}$-bi-1,3-dithiolanole]pyrazin)ium hexafluorophosphate, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, $M_{r}=761.81$, orthorhombic, Fddd, $\quad a=11.642$ (2), $b=13 \cdot 122$ (2), $c=35 \cdot 339$ (5) $\AA, V=5398$ (1) $\AA^{3}, Z$ $=8, D_{m}=1.86, D_{x}=1.874(1) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, Mo $K \bar{\alpha}, \lambda$ $=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=7.13 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=3048, \quad T=$ 296 (2) K. Final $R$ values are 0.037 (I) and 0.058 (II) for 930 and 955 observed [ $I \geq 2 \cdot 5 \sigma(I)$ ] reflections. The compounds are isostructural. There is only marginal stacking of BPTTF molecules but there are short intermolecular $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ contacts: 3.003 (I), $3.234 \AA$ (II) and $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ contacts: 3.644 (I), $3.676 \AA$ (II).


Introduction. Until recently, structural studies of most of the highly conducting molecular systems supported

[^2]the view of these materials as quasi-one-dimensional systems containing clearly defined and largely isolated, parallel stacks of the constituent molecules (Hatfield, 1979). Organic metals known until recently were composed of planar donor and/or acceptor molecules with $\pi$-conjugated systems. They are stacked face-to-face to form segregated columns. The onedimensional properties are considered to arise from the intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ interaction along the columns (Shibaeva, 1982). Recent work has shown (Parkin, Engler, Schumaker, Lagier, Lee, Scott \& Greene, 1983; Beno, Blackman, Leung \& Williams, 1983) that one of the principal requirements for retention of metal-like characteristics and eventual transition to a superconductive state at low temperature is higher-than-one dimensionality, i.e. intercolumn electronic interactions must be introduced.

In this paper we report on the structure of a type of organic metal where the planar molecules are only marginally stacked but are arranged side-by-side to form a two-dimensional system. The twodimensionality of the structure originates from short intermolecular contacts between S and N atoms.

[^3]
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[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H -atom coordinates and packing diagrams for both molecules have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 43141 ( 45 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.
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